

Appendix B: Supplementary Checklists and Templates

The Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches and the Checklist for Public Health Approaches were developed by the Western Region and are used by some other Regions. They provide detailed descriptions, steps, and examples that may assist States as they consider approaches for delivery of nutrition education and obesity prevention services.

The [Budget Detail Template](#) developed and used by the Mountain Plains Region is completed in addition to the [BUDGET SUMMARY FOR SUB-GRANTEES](#) to gather budgetary information in another format. States may wish to contact their Regional SNAP-Ed Coordinators with questions about using these materials.

- Reviewing State SNAP-Ed Plans Checklist
- Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches
- Checklist for Public Health Approaches
- Budget Detail Template

Reviewing State SNAP-Ed Plans Checklist

Reviewing State SNAP-Ed Plans Checklist

This checklist is used to review SNAP-Ed Plans and may be helpful to consider during the planning process.

Elements considered when reviewing SNAP-Ed Plans:

General	Yes	No
Was the plan submitted by 8/15?		
Is the plan complete?		
Is the plan signed by appropriate State representatives?		
Does the plan use appropriate modules?		
Are copies of Interagency Agreements maintained by the State?		
Overall, does the plan seem reasonable, and will it accomplish the SNAP mission?		

Needs Assessment	Yes	No
Are methods and sources used appropriate?		
Does it adequately define the audience and its needs?		
Does it identify other nutrition and obesity prevention programs serving low-income persons?		
Does it identify areas that are underserved?		

Reviewing State SNAP-Ed Plans Checklist

Goals and Objectives	Yes	No
Are the State goals and objectives consistent with SNAP-Ed Plan Guidance?		
Are objectives written in the SMART format?		
Are the key messages included?		
Do the objectives relate to the State goals and objectives?		
Do the activities target the SNAP-Ed target audience?		
Are the activities adequately described?		
Are the activities supported by research?		
Are the activities consistent with Dietary Guidelines for Americans, MyPlate, and the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans?		
Are materials to be used defined and appropriate?		
Is there justification for development of new materials (if any)?		
Is there a plan to capture behavior change (SNAP-Ed Evaluation Framework indicators)?		
Does the Plan incorporate public health approaches?		
Does the Plan demonstrate a coordinated approach using the SEM?		

Evaluation	Yes	No
Is the evaluation type defined?		
Is the methodology adequately defined?		
Are plans for using the results defined?		

Coordination Efforts	Yes	No
Are coordination efforts designed so duplication of efforts is eliminated?		
Is SNAP-Ed's role in State SNAP defined?		

Reviewing State SNAP-Ed Plans Checklist

Staffing	Yes	No
Are administrative FTEs and program delivery FTEs appropriate for described activities?		
Do salaries relate appropriately to the work being performed?		
Is the math in the template, correct?		

Budget	Yes	No
Is there a budget justification for all Implementing Agencies?		
Are costs reasonable and necessary?		
Does the salary line item match the salary total on the staffing template?		
Are indirect costs limited to 26 percent for Federal reimbursement (applies to colleges and universities only)?		
Is an indirect cost rate agreement included?		
Is the math correct?		

Assurances	Yes	No
Are assurances included?		

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

The purpose of this 4-step checklist is to help States and Implementing Agencies meet SNAP-Ed evidence-based program and practice requirements. An evidence-based approach for nutrition education and obesity prevention is defined as “the integration of the best research evidence with the best available practice-based evidence.” Evidence-based allowable uses of SNAP-Ed funds include conducting and evaluating direct education, PSE, and social marketing interventions.

An evidence-based approach may include a mix of strategies (broad approaches to intervening on obesity prevention target areas) and interventions (specific set of evidence-based, behavior-focused activities and/or actions to promote healthy eating and active lifestyles). *THE SNAP-ED TOOLKIT INTERVENTIONS* at <https://snapedtoolkit.org/> contains an interactive listing of peer-reviewed evidence-based interventions at various setting, for various target populations, using the direct education, PSE and social marketing strategies. The Toolkit is the starting point for choosing evidence-based obesity prevention programs for SNAP-Ed.

Categories of Evidence-Based Approaches

FNS has identified three categories of evidence for strategies and interventions along a continuum: research-tested, practice-tested, and emerging. These categories vary according to scientific rigor, evaluation outcomes, research translation, and degree of innovation. Each category along the continuum is vital for expanding and building the knowledge base on effective practices. Movement along the continuum requires that programs are fully implemented and evaluated.

Research-tested: The approach is based upon relevant rigorous nutrition and public health nutrition research including systematically reviewed scientific evidence, and other published studies and evaluation reports that demonstrate significant effects on individual behaviors, food/physical activity environments, or policies across multiple populations, settings, or locales.

Examples: Color Me Healthy, Baltimore Healthy Stores, Nutrition and Physical Activity Self-Assessment for Child Care (NAP SACC)

Practice-tested: The approach is based upon published or unpublished evaluation reports and case studies by practitioners working in the field that have shown positive effects on individual behaviors, food/physical activity environments, or policies.

Examples: Eat Well Play Hard in Child Care Settings (New York), Empowering Healthy Choices in Schools and Homes (Georgia)

Emerging: The approach includes community- or practitioner-driven activities that have the potential for obesity prevention, but have not yet been formally evaluated for obesity prevention outcomes. Evaluation indices may reflect cultural or community-informed measures of success.

Examples: Eat Smart in Parks (Missouri), Fit Business Kit Worksite Program (California)

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

Intervention or Strategy Name:

Step 1	Many strategies or interventions have already been reviewed for their effectiveness for nutrition education and obesity prevention. To begin, check if the approach is recognized as evidence-based by at least one government or scientific agency, or listed in a registry reviewed by experts and researchers in nutrition education and obesity prevention.	Yes	No
	<u>National Collaborative for Childhood Obesity Research: SNAP-Ed Interventions Toolkit</u> https://snapedtoolkit.org/		
	<u>Food and Nutrition Service: Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review (NESR)</u> https://nesr.usda.gov/		
	<u>National Cancer Institute: Research-Tested Interventions Program</u> http://rtips.cancer.gov/rtips/programSearch.do		
	<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Guide to Community Preventive Services</u> https://www.thecommunityguide.org/		
	<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Rural Obesity Prevention Toolkit</u> https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/community-health/obesity		
	<u>What Works for Health: Policies and Programs to Improve Wisconsin's Health</u> http://whatworksforhealth.wisc.edu/		
	<u>Other (specify, attach with submission):</u> _____		
<u>Notes/Comments:</u>			

If you answered to yes to any of the above, congratulations, your strategy or intervention has been certified as evidence-based! If you answered no, go to Step 2.

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

Step 2	Search for evaluation results for your intervention or strategy with a university or public health partner using the following guidelines.	Yes	No
A	Is there at least one peer-reviewed ¹¹ , scientific journal article that concludes your approach has significant positive impacts on individual behaviors, food/physical activity environments, or obesity prevention policies? Journal, article title, publication date: _____		
B	Was the approach tested and compared against some type of control condition present (e.g., a similar venue or population that does not receive the intervention or strategy) with significant positive effects?		
Notes/Comments (including applicable supporting files to be attached with submission):			

If you answered yes to both items A and B, your approach is research-tested. Else, go to item C.

Step 2	(continued)	Yes	No
C	Is there at least one evaluation report or case study that shows your approach has positive changes in individual behaviors, food/physical activity environments, or obesity prevention policies? Indicate title of report or study: _____ Please attach files in the submission		
Notes/Comments (including applicable supporting files to be attached with submission):			

If you answered yes to item C, your approach is practice-tested. Else, go to Step 3.

¹¹ Peer-reviewed articles have been critiqued by the author's peers, who are experts in a given field or academic discipline. Articles published in peer-reviewed journals meet standards of excellence in scientific research. Examples of peer-reviewed journals with SNAP-Ed articles include: *JOURNAL OF NUTRITION EDUCATION AND BEHAVIOR* and *PREVENTING CHRONIC DISEASE*.

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

Step 3	Many strategies or interventions have the potential for	Yes	No
A	Does the approach align with the <i>Dietary Guidelines for Americans</i> https://health.gov/our-work/nutrition-physical-activity/dietary-guidelines , the <i>Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans</i> , https://health.gov/our-work/nutrition-physical-activity/physical-activity-guidelines and/or <i>Healthy People 2030 objectives for Nutrition and Healthy Eating</i> https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/nutrition-and-healthy-eating?		
B	Does the approach reflect the budgetary and time constraints of the low-income population?		
C	Does the approach reflect solutions that would make healthy eating and physically active lifestyles easier and more appealing to SNAP-Ed participants? (Solutions that make healthy choices easier may include changes in food retail, food distribution, or recreation facilities, including hours of operation, price, promotion, placement, marketing, communication, and related operations and policies)		
D	Will the approach be evaluated for changes in individual behaviors, food/physical activity environments, or obesity prevention policies?		
If you answered yes to items A – D, go to item E. Else, your approach is not considered emerging for SNAP-Ed.			
E	Does the approach reflect the social, cultural, and/or linguistic needs and resources of the low-income population(s) served?		
F	Does the approach address the results and implications of a State or community needs assessment?		
G	Does the approach address State or local priorities/strategic plans?		
Notes/Comments (including applicable supporting files to be attached with submission):			
<i>If you answered yes to items E, F, or G (any one of them), your approach</i>			
<u>Designate which category of</u> <hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: 0;"/>			

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

Step 4 For implementation purposes: There are different expectations for program implementers when choosing among research-tested, practice-tested, or emerging approaches. Research-tested programs, and some practice-tested programs, have existing guidelines, materials, and tools for implementers to use upon appropriate training on program delivery. For certain emerging programs, there may be a need for development and audience testing of new strategies and concepts, which may be cost prohibitive. For each of the following criteria, you can use the symbols to the right to help identify what is required, preferred, or possible.							
		Required 	Preferred 	Possible 	Research Tested	Practice-Tested	Emerging
1	Use SMART (simple, measurable, attainable, realistic, timely) objectives						
2	Justify that the reach of the SNAP-Ed population warrants the cost of the intervention						
3	Justify the use of a novel or creative approach						
4	Use existing materials, implementation guides, and resources						
5	Conduct formative research, including audience testing						
6	Conduct a limited duration pilot test, and refine strategy						
7	Gain stakeholder input and put into place partnership agreements to support implementation and sustainability.						
8	Ensure facilities and support provided by partners are available on a consistent basis						
9	Train staff to implement the intervention						
10	Assess that staff have a clear understanding of the nature of the intervention, how it is being implemented, and their role						
11	Determine whether implementation occurs as intended						
12	Replicate across multiple populations and venues						
13	Evaluate outcomes with appropriate follow-up period based on program model						

Checklist for Evidence-Based Approaches

References:

Baker, S; Auld, G; MacKinnon, C; Ammerman, A; Hanula, G; Lohse, B; Scott, M; Serrano, E;

Tucker, E; and Wardlaw, M. Best Practices in Nutrition Education for Low-Income Audiences (2014).

Brennan L, Castro S, Brownson RC, Claus J, Orleans CT. Accelerating evidence reviews and broadening evidence standards to identify effective, promising, and emerging policy and environmental strategies for prevention of childhood obesity. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2011;32:199-223

Cates, S., Blitstein, J., Hersey, J., Kosa, K., Flicker, L., Morgan, K., and Bell, L. Addressing the Challenges of Conducting Effective Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Education (SNAP-Ed) Evaluations: A Step-by-Step Guide. Prepared by Altarum Institute and RTI International for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, March 2014.

Kaplan GE, Juhl AL, Gujral IB, Hoaglin-Wagner AL, Gabella BA, McDermott KM. Tools for Identifying and Prioritizing Evidence-Based Obesity Prevention Strategies, Colorado. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2013; 10:12027

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

The Healthy Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 transformed SNAP-Education into a nutrition education and obesity prevention grant program, explicitly adopting obesity prevention as a major emphasis and allowing comprehensive community and public health approaches for low-income populations. The purpose of this checklist is to help States and Implementing Agencies use a public health approach for planning and implementing SNAP-Education projects. Following a general outline for program planning in public health, there are three core steps: 1) engagement and assessment, 2) program development and implementation, and 3) monitoring and evaluation. This checklist provides examples of each of these steps. The checklist begins by describing the types of SNAP-Education policy, systems, and environmental change strategies and interventions, and then provides steps and examples of program planning in public health. Please keep in mind that in practice, these processes are more parallel and iterative, than they are linear. SNAP-Education programming may include comprehensive, multi-level interventions at multiple complementary organizational and institutional levels. These approaches may address several or all elements or levels of the socio-ecological model (SEM) and may target individual factors, environmental settings, sectors of influence, and social/cultural norms and values.

Categories of Public Health Approaches

Selected strategies should respond to unmet community need for public health approaches that will make it easier for low-income children and families to engage in lifelong behavioral changes. Policies, systems, and environmental (PSE) approaches intend to supplement individual, group and community-based educational strategies used by nutrition and physical activity educators in a multi-component program delivery model. Education combined with PSE is more effective than either strategy alone in preventing overweight and obesity.

SNAP-Education providers can implement community and public health approaches that affect a large segment of the population rather than solely targeting the individual or a small group. Community and public health approaches include three complementary and integrated elements: education, marketing/promotion, and policy, systems, and environmental approaches. Using these three elements helps create conditions where people are encouraged to act on their education and awareness and where the healthy choice becomes the easy and preferred choice, which is facilitated through changes in policy, systems, and the environment. By focusing activities on settings with large proportions of low-income individuals and using evidence-based interventions that are based on formative research with SNAP-Education audiences, public health approaches can reach large numbers of low-income Americans and might produce meaningful impact.

Policy: A written statement of an organizational position, decision, or course of action. Ideally policies describe actions, resources, implementation, evaluation, and enforcement. Policies are made in the public, non-profit, and business sectors. Policies will help to guide behavioral changes for audiences served through SNAP-Education programming.

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

Example: A school that serves a majority low-income student body writes a policy that allows the use of school facilities for recreation by children, parents, and community members during non-school hours. The local SNAP-Ed provider can be a member of a coalition of community groups that work with the school to develop this policy.

Systems: Systems changes are unwritten, ongoing, organizational decisions or changes that result in new activities reaching large proportions of people the organization serves. Systems changes alter how the organization or network of organizations conducts business. An organization may adopt a new intervention, reallocate other resources, or in significant ways modify its direction to benefit low-income consumers in qualifying sites and communities. Systems changes may precede or follow a written policy.

Example: A local food policy council creates a farm-to-fork system that links farmers and local distributors with new retail or wholesale customers in low-income settings. The local SNAP-Ed provider could be an instrumental member of this food policy council providing insight into the needs of the low-income target audience.

Environmental: Includes the built or physical environments which are visual/observable, but may include economic, social, normative or message environments. Modifications in settings where food is sold, served, or distributed may promote healthy food choices. Signage that promotes the use of stairwells or walking trails may increase awareness and use of these amenities. Social changes may include shaping attitudes among teachers or service providers about time allotted for physical activity breaks. Economic changes may include financial disincentives or incentives to encourage a desired behavior, such as purchasing more fruits and vegetables. Note that SNAP-Ed funds may not be used to provide the cash value of financial incentives.

Example: A food retailer serving SNAP participants or other low-income persons institutes in-store signage with free educational materials to encourage consumer selection of healthier food options based on the Dietary Guidelines for Americans and MyPlate. A SNAP-Ed provider can provide consultation and technical assistance to the retailer on preferred educational materials and positioning of signage to reach the target audience through this channel.

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

Step 1. Engagement and Assessment: Findings from assessing the needs and assets of local settings should inform the selected PSE approach. The following are common components of stakeholder engagement and community assessments.	Yes	No	Additional Info.
Collect and analyze qualitative and quantitative data from primary and secondary sources. Primary data is data that one collects directly through surveys, interviews, and focus groups; it often adds local or unique information difficult to obtain through secondary data. Secondary data is existing data which has already been collected by someone else. Previous evaluation data may also be useful to the assessment.			
Incorporate stakeholder (e.g. local decision makers, program partners, program participants) priorities and local initiatives to determine the appropriate strategies.			
Engage communities of focus and gain an understanding of current issues of importance and relevance to them.			
Form community advisory groups, or other bodies to facilitate and maintain stakeholder input.			
Coordinate with other FNS-funded programs such as the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC), the Child Nutrition Programs which include the National School Lunch Program, and Summer Food Service Program, to help ensure SNAP-Ed fills in gaps left by other nutrition programs.			
Partner with other existing services and resources at the national, State, and local levels to further the reach and impact of SNAP-Ed activities. Developing and enhancing partnerships is critical to instituting policy, systems, and environmental change strategies in communities.			
Assess settings that are conducive to reaching a large segment of the population, which otherwise would be challenging to reach one person at a time.			
Assess areas with barriers to the availability and/or accessibility of healthy options (e.g. access to healthy and affordable grocery stores, safe pedestrian walkways, and parks and open spaces).			
Determine what will serve as baseline data.			

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

Step 2. Program Development and Implementation: The program design is based on the conclusions drawn in the Engagement and Assessment phase, and developed as an appropriate response to the identified issues. Be sure to build goals and objectives which reflect the socio-ecological model (SEM). The following are components of program development and implementation used in public health planning processes.	Yes	No	Additional Info.
Identify target population(s) to work with for the intervention.			
Identify appropriate PSE approaches, which complement direct education and social marketing, based on the results from Step 1. Engagement and Assessment.			
Select and align goals and SMART (simple, measurable, attainable, realistic, timely) objectives in at least two levels of the SEM with the Multi-Level Frameworks, such as the: SNAP-Ed Evaluation Framework https://snapedtoolkit.org/framework/index/ CDC Obesity Prevention Framework http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2012/11_0322.htm , or NIFA Community Nutrition Education Logic Model https://nifa.usda.gov/resource/community-nutrition-education-cne-logic-model			
Create a logic model that clearly links each component of the policy and program changes to one another, and connects these to the overall, long-term outcome of improved nutrition, physical activity, and maintenance of normal body weight.			
Select and train implementers.			
Facilitate adoption, implementation, and maintenance.			
Determine which existing materials, implementation guides, and resources are appropriate to utilize.			
Create and develop additional resources, products, and project materials.			
Communicate progress to stakeholders.			

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

Step 3. Evaluation: The changes that occur as a result of the strategies used can be observed at the individual, environmental and systems levels. Evaluating SNAP-Ed interventions using outcome measures that are specific to each intervention and the overall impact using appropriate measures/indicators. The following are components of evaluation commonly used in public health interventions.	Yes	No	Additional Info.
Choose evaluation outcomes with realistic and appropriate measures.			
Collect evaluation data that will inform decisions to be made throughout implementation and later, maintenance/improvement of the project.			
Conduct a process evaluation.			
Determine whether implementation occurs as intended.			
Describe the reach of the intervention in terms of settings or neighborhoods. For example, the number of SNAP-Ed eligible individuals that benefitted from the change(s) during the period assessed. Refer to RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation, and Maintenance) model in the SNAP-Ed Evaluation Framework.			
Conduct an outcome evaluation.			
Continue to monitor outcomes and make continuous program improvements.			
Replicate across multiple populations and venues, making adjustments according to context.			
Communicate and disseminate results to stakeholders and funders.			

Examples of Policy Systems, and Environmental (PSE) Approaches

Examples of PSE approaches that complement direct or indirect nutrition education. Check the corresponding box for those that have the potential to fit your project. This list is not exhaustive; please write-in other proposed approaches for review.	Yes	No
Use community or place-based messaging to promote access and appeal for healthy foods, beverages, and/or physical activity.		
Use digital or social media to promote access and appeal for healthy foods, beverages, and/or physical activity.		

Checklist for Public Health Approaches

Examples of PSE approaches that complement direct or indirect nutrition education. Check the corresponding box for those that have the potential to fit your project. This list is not exhaustive; please write-in other proposed approaches for review.	Yes	No
Mobilize community partnerships around healthy eating and active living.		
Develop wellness policies in schools, after-school, or childcare settings sites that support children and family nutrition and health.		
Participate in State or local food policy councils.		
Create healthy corner stores or food retail policies and organizational practices.		
Develop nutrition standards at emergency food distribution sites.		
Develop point-of-purchase marketing/signage at food retailers or food distribution sites.		
Providing training on Smarter Lunchroom Movements in school cafeterias.		
Develop systems that refer and link SNAP recipients to SNAP-Ed, and vice versa.		
Improve the quality of foods and beverages sold in vending machines.		
Provide training or technical assistance to health or community professionals on obesity prevention.		
Develop healthy procurement policies, healthy nutrition standards, and/or signage for senior nutrition centers and other congregate meals providers.		
Promote farmers markets, including SNAP at farmers markets.		
Create work-site or church-based SNAP-Ed programs.		
Link farms to institutions through farm stands or farm-to-where-you-are initiatives.		
Cultivate community or school gardens.		
Allow for the use of school facilities for recreation during non-school hours.		
Promote access/appeal, or policy changes to support physical activity or exercise.		
Other, specify:		

Budget Detail Template

Budget Detail Template

FNS Strongly recommends the use of the Budget Detail Template. The Budget Detail Templates below (Figures B1 – B6) are for illustrative purposes only, please use the fillable Excel version of this template available at the SNAP-Ed Connection at <https://snaped.fns.usda.gov/program-administration/guidance-and-templates>. Template was developed by Mountain Plains Region.

Figure B1. In-State Travel Budget Table.

In-State Travel															
Agency Name: _____															
Fiscal Year: _____															
Trip	# Staff	Staff Positions Travelling	Trip Purpose	Travel Location	# Days	Mileage (Cost per Mile)	Miles Roundtrip	Total Mileage Cost	Lodging Cost per Day	Total Lodging Cost	Per Diem Cost per Day	Total Per Diem Cost	Registration Fee (if applicable)	Total Registration Cost	Total Cost per Trip
Trip #1								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #2								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #3								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #4								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #5								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #6								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Total In-State Travel	0					\$ -	0	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -

Figure B2. Out-of-State Travel Budget Table

Out-of-State Travel															
Agency Name: _____															
Fiscal Year: _____															
Trip	# Staff	Staff Positions Travelling	Trip Purpose	Travel Location	# Days	Mileage (Cost per Mile)	Miles Roundtrip	Total Mileage Cost	Lodging Cost per Day	Total Lodging Cost	Per Diem Cost per Day	Total Per Diem Cost	Registration Fee (if applicable)	Total Registration Cost	Total Cost per Trip
Trip #1								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #2								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #3								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #4								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #5								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Trip #6								\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -
Total Out-of-State Travel	0					\$ -	0	\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -

Budget Detail Template

Figure B3. Non-Capital Equipment Budget Table

Non-Capital Equipment				
Item ▼	Explanation (if needed) ▼	Price per Item ▼	Quantity ▼	Total ▼
				\$ -
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
Total			0.00	\$ -

Figure B4. Office Supplies Budget Table

Office Supplies				
Item ▼	Explanation (if needed) ▼	Price per Item ▼	Quantity ▼	Total ▼
				\$ -
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
				-
Total			0.00	\$ -

Budget Detail Template

Figure B5. Salary and Fringe Budget Table

Total Salary and Fringe for Each Position					
Title ▼	Name (if requested by Regional Coordinator) ▼	FTE ▼	Salary ▼	Fringe ▼	Total ▼
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
					\$ -
Total		0.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Figure B6. Nutrition Education Materials Budget Table

Nutrition Education Materials						
Item ▼	Audience ▼	Use ▼	Message ▼	Cost per Item ▼	Number of Items ▼	Total Cost ▼
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
Total					0.00	\$ -